Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/502,261	ALVARO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Emily Bernhardt	1624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Emily Bernhardt</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ms. Lorie Ann Morgan.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 June 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		·
Claims discussed: 33  Prior art documents discussed:	·	
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet	VERVIE HAT ONE OF WHAT WAS	, DISCOSSED.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a writted	en summary of the substance
		•
£ Beinhard	- V -	
	ant/Annliant/- D	
(Applica	ant/Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To overcome the 112 rejection on scope of sleep disorders it was agreed to limit the scope to the actual diseases urged treatable in the specification on p.8. This will be done by way of an Examiner's amendment. Also a misspelling will be corrected in the specification on p.8.